

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Angela Lee

DOCKET NO.: 19-36699.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-07-410-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angela Lee, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Inverness; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,556 **IMPR.:** \$48,168 **TOTAL:** \$64,724

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 50-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 3,154 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 13,797 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and inequity as the bases of the appeal. In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted four sales comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The appellant provided the exact proximity of three of the comparables to the subject and reported that all the comparables had the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as single-family dwellings of frame and masonry

construction. They sold from May 2017 to June 2019 for prices ranging from \$190.23 to \$193.29 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted information on eight equity comparables with varying degrees of similarities to the subject. The appellant disclosed that the suggested comparables had the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12.53 to \$13.60 per square foot of living area. Based on the submitted evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$56,075.

The appellant also indicated a contention of law as a basis of this appeal. Included in the submitted evidence was a brief entitled "Brief in support of Residential Appeal" which provided argument that the subject property was being inequitably assessed and overvalued. Neither the appellant's brief nor submitted evidence provided evidence to support a contention of law as a basis for this appeal. As such, the board will only consider the appellant's overvaluation and inequity argument.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$64,724 with an improvement assessment of \$48,168 or \$15.27 per square foot of building area. The total assessment reflects a market value of \$647,240 or \$205.21 per square foot of living area using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales and four equity comparables. The comparable sales occurred from July 2018 to May 2019 for prices ranging from \$217.71 to \$234.37 per square foot of living area, including land. The four equity comparables were all located within a block of the subject and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.40 to \$17.62 per square foot of living area. The board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review did not meet its burden of proof because it's suggested comparable properties fail support the assessed valuation because they have characteristics that are substantially different than the subject. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Prior to a scheduled November 9, 2023, hearing before a PTAB Administrative Law Judge the parties entered into a written agreement to waive hearing and have a decision rendered based on the previously submitted evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity

and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4. These submitted equity comparables were all located within a block of the subject and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.40 to \$16.40 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.27 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering the differences and similarities between the suggested comparables and the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 through #3. These comparables had sales prices ranging from \$192.15 to \$234.37 per square foot of building area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$205.21 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering the differences and similarities between the suggested comparables and the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u>	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024

**Middle Date: **Date: **

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Angela Lee, by attorney: Stephanie Park Park & Longstreet, P.C. 1620 W Colonial Pkwy. Inverness, IL 60067

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602