



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Katherine Hudson
DOCKET NO.: 19-36171.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-15-408-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Katherine Hudson, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,952
IMPR.: \$22,808
TOTAL: \$26,760

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,191 square feet of building area. The dwelling is approximately 10 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,187 square foot site and is located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-78 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,873 to 3,259 square feet of building area. The homes range in age from

10 to 21 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$27,753 to \$31,775 or from \$9.66 to \$9.80 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,340 or \$9.74 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,760. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,808 or \$10.41 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 buildings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,388 to 2,749 square feet of building area. The homes range in age from 1 to 25 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. Two comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$25,170 to \$29,400 or from \$10.54 to \$11.43 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables to the Board for consideration. The Board finds none of the comparables are truly similar to the subject due to differences from the subject in age and/or dwelling size. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the board of review's comparables. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #1 which is reported to be 1 year old and significantly newer than other comparables in this record. Board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4 are more similar to the subject in dwelling size and some features, but are older homes than the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$25,170 to \$29,400 or from \$10.54 to \$11.43 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,808 or \$10.41 per square foot of building area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject including but not limited to age and dwelling size, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Katherine Hudson, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602