

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Manuel Garcia
DOCKET NO.:	19-36109.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	17-06-416-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Manuel Garcia, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$10,710
IMPR.:	\$59,917
TOTAL:	\$70,627

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,940 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 130 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement. The property has a 3,150 square foot site located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,772 to 3,924 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 107 to 128 years old. The comparables each have a basement, two of which are finished with either an

PTAB/6-23

apartment or a recreation room. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,085 to \$64,628 or from \$15.52 to \$16.63 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$47,422 or \$16.13 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,627. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$59,917 or \$20.38 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,436 to 2,992 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 125 to 143 years old. Three comparables each have an unfinished basement and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. One comparable has one fireplace. One comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,209 to \$67,394 or from \$22.24 to \$22.66 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3 due to differences from the subject in building size. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #2 which lacks a basement which is a feature of the subject and also has a garage which the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparable #4 which are similar to the subject in age, building size, and most features. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$46,085 and \$65,458 or of \$16.63 and \$22.59 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$59,917 or \$20.38 per square foot of building area is bracketed by the improvement assessments of the two best comparables in the record. Based on the record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



## <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 27, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

### PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Manuel Garcia, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602