

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Irene Kats

DOCKET NO.: 19-33865.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-07-300-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Irene Kats, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$23,940 **IMPR.:** \$123,890 **TOTAL:** \$147,830

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 9,268 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 13 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 4-car garage. The property has a 31,920 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. One comparable was located "right next" to the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction that ranged in size from 7,760 to 13,514 square feet of living area. The homes ranged in age from 13 to 29 years old. Five of

the comparables had full basements with finished area and one had a partial basement that was unfinished. Each comparable had central air conditioning. Five comparables had from one to three fireplaces and four comparables had a 4-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$39,675 to \$150,600 or from \$5.05 to \$12.98 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced to \$117,411.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$147,830. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$123,890 or \$13.37 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. One of the comparables was also located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings of stucco or masonry exterior construction that ranged in size from 6,987 to 7,647 square feet of living area. The homes ranged in age from 13 to 20 years old and featured full basements, three of which had finished area, central air conditioning, one, two or four fireplaces and a 3-car or 4-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$98,167 to \$122,418 or from \$13.82 to \$16.01 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5, due to their older ages or considerably larger size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age and some features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$91,479 to \$150,600 or from \$11.30 to \$16.01 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$123,890 or \$13.37 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex

Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 III.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 16, 2021
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Irene Kats 4200 Walters Ave Northbrook, IL 60062

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602