

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Haroon Khan
DOCKET NO.: 19-32033.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-09-234-043-1703

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Haroon Khan, the appellant(s), by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,343 **IMPR.:** \$75,657 **TOTAL:** \$80,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a single condominium unit, with a 0.8671% ownership interest in the common elements, located in a 15-year-old, 705-unit building on a 37,108 square foot site, in North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject property is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, appellant submitted an appraisal which estimated the subject's market value at \$800,000 for the lien year at issue in the instant appeal. The appraiser indicates in his report that the interior of the subject property is unfinished, and no one has ever lived in it. The appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to estimate the subject's market value. Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed sales of similarly unfinished and finished condominiums located in the subject's immediate and general areas in arriving at the estimate of value.

Appellant also submitted a copy of the board of review's decision letter reflecting its assessment for the subject property at \$167,048. Based on this evidence, appellant requests the subject property's assessment not to exceed \$80,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$167,048. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,670,480, including land, when applying the level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted its "Condominium Analysis for 2020" listing assessment values for each PIN located in the building, including the subject property. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted its Assessment Analysis based on sales information on 102 condominium units located within the same building as the subject property. Based on a total percentage of interest of units sold of 15.9420%, the board of review calculated a full market value for the condominium building of \$186,001,536 and a full market value for the subject property of \$1,612,819.

Conclusion of Law

Appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds appellant *did meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be *the appraisal submitted by appellant*. The appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to estimate the subject's market value. Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed sales of similarly unfinished and finished condominiums located in the subject's immediate and general areas in arriving at the estimate of value. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$800,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established, the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DIGGENTING	
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023
	111:11216
	Myam C
	Claula a fulla a Dua u a utaa Taaa A u u a a l Daa u d

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Haroon Khan, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 33 North LaSalle Street 28th Floor Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602