

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mark Villeneuve
DOCKET NO.:	19-26697.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	09-26-311-055-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Villeneuve, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,312
IMPR.:	\$21,797
TOTAL:	\$27,109

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 63-year-old, one-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,035 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement, 1.1 bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site located in Park Ridge, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. Each comparable was improved with a one-story residence of either masonry or frame and masonry construction. The comparables ranged: in size between 1,434 and 1,784 square feet of living area; in assessment between \$11.87 and \$15.21 per square foot of living area; in age between 64 and 70 years old; either 1 or 2 bathrooms; either no fireplace or 1 fireplace; and either a full unfinished basement, a full finished basement with recreation room, or a partial basement with recreation room.

Appellant also submitted a copy of the board of review's January 10, 2020, written decision reflecting its final total assessment for the subject property of \$27,109. In its petition, appellant lists the subject property's land assessment as \$4,906 and its improvements assessment as \$22,203 with no further information or explanation. In its grid analysis, appellant calculated the subject property's improvement assessment per square foot as \$21.45. Based on this evidence, appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$21,406.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,109. The subject property has a land assessment of \$5,312 and an improvement assessment of \$21,797, or \$21.06 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. Each comparable was improved with a one-story residence of frame and masonry construction. The comparables ranged: between 1,113 and 1,240 square feet of living area; in assessment between \$22.20 and \$22.59 per square foot of living area; in age between 64 and 65 years old; either 1 and 2 bathrooms; either a 1-car, a 2-car, or a 2.5-car garage; and either no fireplace or 1 fireplace. Three out of the four comparables had a full unfinished basement and the fourth had a full finished basement with a formal recreation room.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

As a factual matter, the Board finds the current assessment for the subject property is as reflected in the board of review's notes on appeal: a land assessment of \$5,312 and an improvement assessment of \$21,797, or \$21.06 per square foot of living area. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the *board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4*. These comparables were most similar to the subject property in living area square footage and closest to it in proximity. Lesser weight was given to the comparables with the greatest differences in living area square footage and/or differences in construction in comparison to the subject property. The best comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$22.20 to \$22.59 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21.06 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require a mathematical equality. A practical, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co.</u> <u>v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all the constitution

requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the PTAB finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the PTAB finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



## <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 27, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

### PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Mark Villeneuve 229 N Lincoln Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068

### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602