

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steven Stein
DOCKET NO.: 19-24463.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-305-060-1005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Stein, the appellant(s), by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,196 **IMPR.:** \$96,804 **TOTAL:** \$110,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a single condominium unit with a 29% ownership interest in the common elements of a five-unit condominium building, located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject property is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 21, 2017, for a price of \$1,100,000. In Section IV of the appeal form, appellant indicates the subject property sold by a realtor with Sergio and Banks Real Estate, was advertised for sale with Redfin and Zillow for a period of three weeks, and the parties to the transaction were not family members. Appellant also submitted a copy of the ALTA Settlement Statement. In addition, appellant submitted a copy of the board of review's decision reflecting the subject property was assessed at \$124,114.

Based on this evidence, appellant requested a total assessment reduction for the subject property to \$110,000.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted its "Condominium Analysis Results for 2019" disclosing the subject property sold in June of 2017 for \$1,100,000. Based on its assessment analysis using the sale of the subject property as the sole consideration, applying a 1% adjustment factor, and calculating the subject property's 29% ownership in the common elements, the board of review calculated a full market value for the building of \$3,755,172, and a full market value of the subject property of \$1,089,000.

Conclusion of Law

Appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

Regarding the board of review's application of a 1% adjustment factor in calculating market value for the subject property, the Board finds the board of review did not submit any evidence indicating why this factor should be applied and therefore, gives it little to no weight. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the undisputed sale price of \$1,100,000, of the subject property in June of 2017. Appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. Appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property had been advertised on the open market and it had been on the market for three weeks. In further support of the transaction, appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction.

Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,100,000 as of January 1, 2019. Since market value has been determined the median level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2). Accordingly, the appellant did prove by preponderance of the evidence that the subject property was over valuated and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 22, 2023
MilAL
Clade of the December Toron Armed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Steven Stein, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602