

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Andrzej Pilecki
DOCKET NO .:	19-23167.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	12-01-102-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrzej Pilecki, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Inverness, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$9,712
IMPR.:	\$25,648
TOTAL:	\$35,360

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 1,331 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 62 years old. Features include a full, basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 9,250 square foot site and is located in Park Ridge, Norwood Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The taxpayer asserts assessment inequity as a basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the taxpayer submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. The taxpayer also asserts that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this argument, the taxpayer submitted information on four suggested sales comparables

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,360. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25,648 or \$19.27 per square foot of living area. The subject property's assessment reflects a market value of \$353,600, land included, or \$265.66 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables and four suggested sales comparables.

In rebuttal, appellant's counsel submitted an argument that two of the board of review's sales comparables and all of its equity comparables were substantially different than the subject property in terms of the size of their living areas. Therefore, according to appellant's counsel, the board of review failed to meet its burden of presenting sufficient evidence to overcome appellant's <u>prima facie</u> case.

This matter was scheduled for hearing before an administrative law judge on August 21, 2023. The parties agreed to waive the hearing, however, and to have the case decided on the basis of the documentary evidence and arguments that the parties had submitted.

# **Conclusions of Law**

The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); <u>Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. <u>Peacock v. Property</u> <u>Tax Appeal Board</u>, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is a basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); <u>Walsh</u>, 181 III. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. <u>Bazyldo v. Volant</u>, 164 III. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds that the best evidence of assessment equity is the board of review's equity comparables one through three. Like the subject property, these comparables each have one-story, single-family residences of masonry construction with garages, and three bedrooms. The dwellings on these comparables are similar to the subject dwelling in age and living area size. These comparables are all located on the same block as the subject.

Appellant's counsel asserted in rebuttal that the board of review's equity comparables are "substantially different than the subject property" in terms of living area size. The Board is not relying on the board of review's equity comparable four, so that comparable need not be considered. The disparities between the subject and the board of review's other three equity comparables are 10%, 15%, and 17%. The Board takes these disparities into account, but they are not so great that they render the board of review's evidence insufficient or inadequate as a matter of law. Although the appellant's comparables are closer to the subject in living area size, the board of review's comparables one, two, and three are clearly superior in terms of location, and each has three bedrooms, like the subject.

The board of review's comparables one, two, and three had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19.44 to \$20.32 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19.27 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed, and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not justified.

The taxpayer also asserts that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); <u>Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd.</u>, 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The Board concludes that the best evidence of the subject's market value is the board of review's sales comparables one, two, and four. Like the subject property, these comparables each have single-family residences of masonry construction with central air conditioning, garages, and three bedrooms. The dwellings on these comparables are similar to the subject dwelling in age and living area size.

Appellant's counsel asserted in rebuttal that the board of review's sales comparables two and three are "substantially different than the subject property" in terms of living area size. The Board is not relying on the board of review's sales comparable three, so that comparable need not be considered. The subject property has 1,331 square feet of living area. The board of review's sales comparable two has 1,221 square feet of living area, only 8% less than the subject. Although the living areas of the appellant's sales comparables are slightly closer in size to the subject's living area, the board of review's sales comparable is far superior to the appellant's sales comparables regarding location.

The board of review's sales comparables one, two, and four sold between August 18, 2017, and July 31, 2019, for amounts ranging from \$319.41 to \$419.68 per square foot of living area, land included in the sale prices. The subject property's assessment reflects a market value of \$353,600, land included, or \$265.66 per square foot of living area, which is below the range established by the best comparables in the record. Accordingly, the Board determines that the appellant has failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject property was

overvalued. Based on the evidence, the Board therefore finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 17, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Andrzej Pilecki, by attorney: Stephanie Park Park & Longstreet, P.C. 1620 W Colonial Pkwy. Inverness, IL 60067

# COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602