

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mr. Beans, LLC
DOCKET NO.: 19-20364.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-19-315-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mr. Beans, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Ellen G. Berkshire, of Verros Berkshire, PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,129 **IMPR.:** \$34,660 **TOTAL:** \$39,789

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story building of masonry exterior construction with 2,040 square feet of building area. The building is 116 years old and has a full unfinished basement. The property has a 3,206 square foot site and is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are class 2-11 buildings of stucco, frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,114 to 2,289 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 103 to 109 years old and have full or partial basements, one of which has finished area. Two of

the comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables each have a fireplace and one comparable has a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,738 to \$35,175 or from \$12.65 to \$15.76 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$29,539 or \$14.48 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,789. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$34,660 or \$16.99 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Comparables #1, #2 and #3 are also located on the same block as the subject. The comparables are class 2-11 buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,900 to 2,040 square feet of building area. The buildings are either 116 or 121 years old and have full basements, three of which have finished area. One of the comparables has a fireplace and one comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$35,141 to \$37,392 or from \$18.07 to \$18.50 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its improvement assessment being an outlier, when compared to the improvement assessments of the subject and the parties' other comparables. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in location, building classification, age, size and many features. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,418 to \$37,392 or from \$14.13 to \$18.50 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34,660 or \$16.99 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 18, 2022	
	111:11216	
	Man O	
	Clarify of the Discourse Tare Association of	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Mr. Beans, LLC, by attorney: Ellen G. Berkshire Verros Berkshire, PC 225 West Randolph Suite 2950 Chicago, IL 60606

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602