



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Paul DeWoskin  
DOCKET NO.: 19-08781.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 17-31-301-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul DeWoskin, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$86,283  
**IMPR.:** \$167,646  
**TOTAL:** \$253,929

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,153 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1950 and is approximately 69 years old. The dwelling has a reported effective age of 1972.<sup>1</sup> Features of the home include a basement finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 621 square foot garage. The property has a 10,510 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .41

---

<sup>1</sup> The subject's property record card provided by the board of review indicated the subject dwelling was remodeled in 1998 and has an effective age of 1972.

of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding, brick, stucco or stone exterior construction ranging in size from 3,136 to 3,486 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 72 to 97 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 200 to 920 square feet of building area. Three comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$124,660 to \$138,161 or from \$38.74 to \$42.44 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$127,460 or \$40.42 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$253,929. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$167,646 or \$53.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .62 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, brick and wood siding or brick and stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,994 to 3,514 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1963 to 1979 with comparables #3 and #4 having reported effective ages of 1981 and 1983, respectively. The board of review reported that each comparable has a basement, three of which are finished with a recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 537 to 594 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$157,278 to \$182,975 or from \$52.07 to \$56.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its lack of central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size and design. However, the Board finds the comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age and four of the eight comparables have no basement recreation room, a feature of the subject, suggesting adjustments would be required for these differences to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$133,101 to \$182,975 or from \$39.06 to \$56.54 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$167,646 or \$53.17 per square foot of living area falls within the

range established by the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a square foot basis. Therefore, based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 21, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul DeWoskin, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085