

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Andrew Grossmann DOCKET NO.: 19-08779.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-306-030

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrew Grossmann, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$66,455 **IMPR.:** \$186,320 **TOTAL:** \$252,775

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick or wood siding exterior construction with 3,868 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1964 and is approximately 55 years old. The dwelling has a reported effective age of 1971. Features of the home include a basement finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 460 square foot garage. The property has a 11,482 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .35

¹ The subject's property record card provided by the board of review indicated the subject dwelling was remodeled 1998 and has an effective age of 1971.

of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story or two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,609 to 4,877 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 51 to 55 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 546 to 1,014 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$167,872 to \$220,236 or from \$45.16 to \$46.51 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$177,412 or \$45.87 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$252,775. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$186,320 or \$48.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on seven equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .28 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, stucco, wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,634 to 4,174 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1964 to 1979 with comparables #1, #2, #5, and #6 having reported effective ages ranging from 1970 to 1980. The board of review reported that each comparable has a basement, six of which are finished with a recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 449 to 625 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$185,338 to \$212,214 or from \$49.05 to \$52.08 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of ten suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparable #6 as none of the dwellings have a basement recreation room like the subject dwelling. Furthermore, the appellant's comparable #1 is a dissimilar one-story design that is considerably larger in size when compared to the subject dwelling. The Board has also given less weight to board of review comparable #1 as it has an inground swimming pool, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2, #3, #4, #5 and #7, which are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age/effective age and most features. The comparables have improvement assessments that range

from \$185,338 to \$212,214 or from \$49.53 to \$52.08 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$186,320 or \$48.17 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record in terms of overall improvement assessment but below the range on a square foot basis. Therefore, based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 21, 2022
	14:1011
	Mand
	Clark of the Dunanty Toy Anneal David

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Andrew Grossmann, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085