

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Aleksey Piskunov DOCKET NO.: 19-07994.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-20-305-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aleksey Piskunov, the appellant, by attorney Thomas M. Battista, of the Law Offices of Thomas M. Battista in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$42,734 **IMPR.:** \$140,573 **TOTAL:** \$183,307

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,900 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996 and is 17 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 620 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,760 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal.<sup>1</sup> In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 11,326 to 18,731 square feet of land area and are improved with single family dwellings of wood

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While assessment equity was also marked as a basis of the appeal, no assessment data was supplied and the brief only addresses market value summarizing sales data.

siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,988 to 4,496 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 19 to 25 years old. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 639 to 713 square feet of building area. The appellant's grid analysis excluded details for the comparables with respect to design/style, basement, basement finished area and/or other improvements. The properties sold from January to December 2018 for prices ranging from \$510,000 to \$650,000 or from \$144.57 to \$170.68 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$155,111 which reflects a market value of \$465,380 or \$160.48 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$183,307. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$557,334 or \$192.18 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2019 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 32.89% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.09 of a mile from the subject property. Board of review comparable #4 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #2. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,450 to 18,730 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,802 to 3,296 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1995 or 1997. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 639 to 660 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace and comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The properties sold from January 2018 to September 2019 for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$575,000 or from \$166.87 to \$203.43 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains ten comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to each of the appellant's comparables as they lack details such as design/style, basement and/or finished basement area relevant to an overvaluation argument and includes giving less weight to the board of review comparable #4, the common property, which has an inground swimming pool, absent in the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other

features. These comparables sold from March 2018 to September 2019 for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$575,000 or from \$166.87 to \$203.43 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$557,334 or \$192.18 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 21, 2022
	111:10 16
	Man O
	Clark of the December Town Arrows I December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Aleksey Piskunov, by attorney: Thomas M. Battista Law Offices of Thomas M. Battista 734 N. Wells Street Chicago, IL 60654

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085