

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Edward & Barbara Harms

DOCKET NO.: 19-07637.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-24-202-027

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edward & Barbara Harms, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$67,695 **IMPR.:** \$131,478 **TOTAL:** \$199,173

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,801 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 1973 and is 46 years old. Features of the home include a basement that is finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 779 square foot garage. The property has a 13,940 square foot site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence for the subject's style and size was the subject's property record card presented by the board of review which included a sketch with dimensions and size calculations.

2,718 to 3,204 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 48 to 56 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 540 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$109,421 to \$130,758 or \$40.12 to \$40.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$199,173. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$131,478 or \$46.94 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of 1-story, 1.5-story, or 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 2,801 to 3,214 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1973 to 1980 with comparables #2 and #3 having effective ages of 1977 and 1979, respectively. The comparables have basements with four having recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 456 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$141,077 to \$167,689 or from \$43.89 to \$52.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables and board of review comparable #2 which have unfinished basements unlike the subject. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #1 which is a dissimilar 1-story dwelling when compared to the subject's 1.5-story dwelling. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 which overall are more similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and features. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$141,077 to \$141,381 or from \$43.89 to \$50.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$131,478 or \$46.94 per square foot of living area, falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record on a per square foot basis and below on an overall basis. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 17, 2022
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appear Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Edward & Barbara Harms, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085