

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Thomas Schmidt DOCKET NO.: 19-07591.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-21-113-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Schmidt, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$70,783 **IMPR.:** \$238,200 **TOTAL:** \$308,983

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,092 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2016 and is approximately 3 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 572 square foot garage. The property has an 8,975 square foot site and is located in Libertyville, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,447 to 4,073 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 10 to 24 years old. Each comparable has an

unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 728 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$157,227 to \$252,188 or from \$61.57 to \$64.25 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$193,829 or \$62.69 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$308,983. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$238,200 or \$77.04 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .18 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,924 to 3,346 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2009 to 2018. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 565 to 788 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an attic with 244 square feet of finished area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$225,901 to \$266,619 or from \$77.20 to \$79.68 per square foot of living area.

The board of review also indicated on a copy of the grid analysis provided by the appellant that the subject dwelling is newer in age than all of the appellant's comparables and the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 are dissimilar in dwelling size when compared to the subject.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or age. The Board gives reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 as it has a finished attic area, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparables #1 and #2. These three comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$220,079 to \$254,002 or from \$63.01 to \$77.26 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$238,200 or \$77.04 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this

record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 17, 2022
	111.1016
	Mana
	Clade of the Donorate Toro Annual David

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Thomas Schmidt, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085