

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Robert and Cecile Levy
DOCKET NO.:	19-07578.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-13-304-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robert and Cecile Levy, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$65,753
IMPR.:	\$170,911
TOTAL:	\$236,664

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part 1-story and part 2-story dwelling¹ of wood siding exterior construction with 4,253 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1969, is 50 years old and has an effective year built of 1984. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and two attached garages with a combined 779 square feet building area. The property has an approximately 20,040 square foot site and is located in Lincolnshire, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The

¹ The sketch notes in the subject's property record card report the dwelling to be a part 1-story and part 2-story style. These notes further report that the subject had an addition completed in 2007.

comparables are improved with part 1-story and part 2-story dwellings² of brick and wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,316 to 4,687 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from one year to 52 years old. Two comparables have an unfinished basement and two comparables have crawl space foundations. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 510 to 900 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$125,873 to \$170,779 or from \$35.85 to \$38.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$157,892 or \$37.12 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$236,664. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$170,911 or \$40.19 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with either 2-story or part 1-story and part 2-story³ dwellings of brick, frame or brick and frame exterior construction that range in size from 3,969 to 4,114 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1989 to 1992. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 699 to 988 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$168,274 to \$183,338 or from \$41.42 to \$45.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables #2 and #3 which have crawl space foundations in contrast to the subject's unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining comparables which are more like the subject in location, design, dwelling size and other features, although the comparables present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age and/or effective age.

 $^{^2}$ Sketches and photographs of the appellants' comparables depict the dwellings to be part 1-story and part 2-story in style.

³ Sketches of the board of review's comparables depict comparable #1 and #3 to be part 1-story and part 2-story in style.

These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$167,208 to \$183,338 or from \$35.85 to \$45.54 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$170,911 or \$40.19 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 17, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Robert and Cecile Levy, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085