



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mauro Giannelli
DOCKET NO.: 19-07502.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-20-201-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mauro Giannelli, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***a reduction*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,146
IMPR.: \$135,235
TOTAL: \$153,381

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,039 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1995 and is approximately 24 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 956 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 44,000 square feet of land area and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,916 to 3,531 square feet of living area. The homes are 32 or 34 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, and an attached garage

ranging in size from 440 to 805 square feet of building area. Three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from .04 to .34 of one mile from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$121,288 to \$147,072 or from \$41.12 to \$41.65 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$125,966.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$165,876. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$147,730 or \$48.61 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,816 to 3,252 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1987 or 1988. Each property has a partial or full basement with three having recreation rooms ranging in size from 1,042 to 1,196 square feet. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 546 to 936 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .31 to .42 of one mile from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$125,390 to \$159,757 or from \$43.71 to \$50.89 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The parties submitted nine comparables to support their respective positions. Each comparable is similar to the subject in location but is improved with a home that is slightly older than the subject dwelling. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #3 due to their larger dwelling sizes in relation to the subject home. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to each property having finished basement area as well as the fact that comparable #2 is slightly different from the subject dwelling in style and comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool, a feature the subject does not have. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #3 as well as board of review comparables #4 and #5. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$121,288 to \$142,135 or from \$41.12 to \$44.53 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$147,730 or \$48.61 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mauro Giannelli, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085