



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tsvetana Roussev  
DOCKET NO.: 19-07458.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-31-306-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tsvetana Roussev, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$32,426  
**IMPR.:** \$103,781  
**TOTAL:** \$136,207

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 2,389 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1962 and is approximately 57 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an attached garage with 672 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 13,840 square feet of land area and is located in Barrington, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,840 to 3,434 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 44 to 63 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or

two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 572 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .07 to .22 of a-mile from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$77,948 to \$145,449 or from \$41.02 to \$42.36 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$100,391.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$136,207. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$103,781 or \$43.44 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,106 to 2,688 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1973 to 1975. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 480 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .08 to .93 of a-mile from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$97,446 to \$122,943 or from \$44.37 to \$46.29 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. The Board finds the best comparables to be appellant's comparable #2 and those comparables submitted by the board of review as each property is improved with a dwelling more similar to the subject dwelling in style, size and features with the exception each has one fireplace while the subject has two fireplaces. The Board finds, however, each of the board of review comparables is from 11 to 13 years newer than the subject dwelling suggesting that downward adjustments to the comparables for superior age would be appropriate. The most similar comparable with respect to size and age is appellant's comparable #2, however, this property has a smaller basement, one less fireplace and a smaller garage than the subject, suggesting an upward adjustment to this comparable would be appropriate to make the property more equivalent to the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$97,446 to \$122,943 or from \$42.35 to \$46.29 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$103,781 or \$43.44 per square foot of living area falls within the range as established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists based on the evidence in this record.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tsvetana Roussev, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085