

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Nimisha Patel
DOCKET NO .:	19-07418.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-06-413-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nimisha Patel, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$24,588
IMPR.:	\$110,357
TOTAL:	\$134,945

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,643 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1993 and is approximately 26 years old. Features of the home include a 1,484 square foot unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 497 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 12,020 square foot site and is located in Vernon Hills, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .52 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,677 to 2,964 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 28 to 30 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished

basement ranging in size from 918 to 1,052 square feet of basement area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 380 to 448 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$104,286 to \$114,000 or from \$38.27 to \$39.04 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$102,541 or \$38.80 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$134,945. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$110,357 or \$41.75 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .17 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction with either 2,643 or 2,655 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1989 or 1990. The comparables have either 932 or 944 square feet of basement area, four of which have recreation rooms. The comparables each have central air conditioning and a garage with 483 or 497 square feet of building area. Two comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$105,296 to \$116,422 or from \$39.84 to \$44.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #5 due to their dissimilar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board has also given less weight to board of review comparable #3 as it has an inground swimming pool, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4, which are identical to the subject in dwelling size and garage size. However, the dwellings are slightly older in age with smaller basements, suggesting upward adjustments would be required for these differences to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Additionally, two of the comparables have basement recreation rooms, unlike the subject, suggesting a downward adjustment would be required for this feature. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$105,296 to \$108,617 or from \$39.84 to \$41.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$110,357 or \$41.75 per

square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record, which appears to be logical given its newer age and larger basement size. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex</u> <u>Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 21, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nimisha Patel, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085