

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT:   | Yue Ma           |
|--------------|------------------|
| DOCKET NO.:  | 19-07345.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO .: | 15-16-304-022    |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yue Ma, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND:  | \$48,578  |
|--------|-----------|
| IMPR.: | \$144,455 |
| TOTAL: | \$193,033 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,999 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1992 and is approximately 27 years old. Features of the home include a basement that is finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 704 square foot garage. The property has approximately an 18,730 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,901 to 3,149 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 30 or 31 years old. Each comparable has an

unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with 441 or 483 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$123,777 to \$133,890 or from \$42.52 to \$42.93 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$193,033. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$144,455 or \$48.17 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,963 to 3,408 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1992. Each comparable has a basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 704 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$137,875 to \$148,032 or from \$43.44 to \$48.11 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables which have unfinished basements and smaller garages when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #4 which have an inground swimming pool, a smaller garage and/or a larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2 and #3 which overall are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and most features. These properties have improvement assessments of \$140,997 and \$137,875 or \$47.59 and \$46.02 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$144,455 or \$48.17 per square foot of living area, which falls above the improvement assessments of the two best comparables in the record on overall and per square foot bases. The subject's higher improvement assessment appears to be justified when considering adjustments to the comparables for the subject's larger basement which has more finished area. Based on this evidence, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 17, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Yue Ma, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085