

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Darlene & Glen Brin DOCKET NO.: 19-07324.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-20-101-025

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Darlene and Glen Brin, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$32,389 **IMPR.:** \$135,940 **TOTAL:** \$168,329

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property has a site with approximately 46,610 square feet of land area that is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction containing 2,963 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1986 and is approximately 33 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a 653 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 816 square feet of building area. The subject property also has an inground swimming pool. The property is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,904 to 3,190 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 32 to 34

years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 840 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .23 to .37 miles from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$121,185 to \$132,916 or from \$41.12 to \$41.73 per square foot of living area. The appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$123,038.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$168,329. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$135,940 or \$45.88 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or wood siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,610 to 2,988 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1984 to 1986. Each comparable has a full basement with a recreation room ranging in size from 877 to 1,222 square feet. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 516 to 1,012 square feet of building area. Comparables #2 and #3 have inground swimming pools. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located from approximately .10 to .41 miles from the subject property. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$124,665 to \$143,950 or from \$44.97 to \$48.82 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine comparables submitted by the parties that are similar to the subject in location, age, and style. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables due to the lack of finished basement area, lack of a fireplace and/or having a garage that is smaller than the subject's garage. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #4 due to the smaller dwelling size in relation to the subject dwelling. The Board finds the best comparables to be the board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 as each property has finished basement area, and one or two fireplaces. Additionally, board of review comparables #2 and #3 have inground swimming pools, similar to the subject property. These four comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$124,945 to \$143,950 or from \$44.97 to \$48.82 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$135,940 or \$45.88 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 19, 2022
	111.1016
	Mana
	Clade of the Decrease Toron Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Darlene & Glen Brin, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085