



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Shawn Parsin
DOCKET NO.: 19-07021.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-19-107-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shawn Parsin, the appellant, by attorney Timothy C. Jacobs, of Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,667
IMPR.: \$79,983
TOTAL: \$101,650

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and vinyl siding exterior construction with 2,656 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001 and is 18 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished walk-out basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 620 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,330 square foot site and is located in Wauconda, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.31 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,456 to 23,960 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that have either 2,463 or 2,906 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to 21 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage

ranging in size from 440 to 671 square feet of building area. Two comparables have either one or two fireplaces. The properties sold from July 2018 to March 2019 for prices ranging from \$245,000 to \$323,500 or from \$84.31 to \$112.67 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$91,484 which reflects a market value of \$274,479 or \$103.34 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$101,650. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$309,061 or \$116.36 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2019 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 32.89% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Board of review comparables #2 and #3 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #2, respectively. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,450 to 23,960 square feet of land area and are improved with one-story or two-story dwellings of vinyl or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,280 to 2,906 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1997 to 2002. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 671 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The properties sold from May 2018 to July 2019 for prices ranging from \$275,000 to \$323,500 or from \$111.32 to \$120.61 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains six comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as two properties were common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3/board of review comparable #2 which has a substantially larger site size when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #5 which differs in design when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining comparables, including one of the common comparables, which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These comparables sold from May 2018 to July 2019 for prices ranging from \$245,000 to \$305,000 or from \$84.31 to \$117.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$309,061 or \$116.36 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls slightly above the range established by the

best comparable sales in this record on an overall value basis and within the range on a per square foot basis. Given the subject's larger garage size, relative to the best comparables, a slightly higher overall value appears logical. After considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Shawn Parsin, by attorney:
Timothy C. Jacobs
Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit
175 North Archer Avenue
Mundelein, IL 60060

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085