

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Eric Kirsner

DOCKET NO.: 19-06305.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-10-314-020

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eric Kirsner, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$59,911 **IMPR.:** \$160,412 **TOTAL:** \$220,323

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,562 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1964 and 55 years old with an effective age of 1978 due to remodeling in 1997. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 484 square foot attached garage. The property has an 18,020 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,028 to 3,830 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 83 to 101 years old. Three comparables have basements with one having finished area. One comparable has a crawl space

foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or four fireplaces and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 288 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$90,230 to \$106,913 or from \$27.91 to \$29.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$220,323. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$160,412 or \$35.16 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted two grid analyses on nine equity comparables located in same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. For ease of read, the board of review's second set of comparables have been renumbered #6 through #9. However, board of review comparable #6 is a duplicate of board of review comparable #4. The eight comparables are described as two-story dwellings of brick, stone, and/or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,881 to 4,815 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1950 to 1976 and have effective ages from 1970 to 1995. Six comparables each feature a partial or a full basement with a recreation room. One comparable has a lower level and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Other features include central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 324 to 903 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$135,403 to \$274,508 or from \$32.64 to \$61.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted twelve equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables which are significantly older dwellings and have considerably smaller dwelling sizes. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2, #4, and #7 which also have considerably smaller dwelling sizes or an inground swimming pool when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #1 as it appears to be an outlier due to its significantly higher improvement assessment when compared to the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #3, #5, #8 and #9. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size,

design, age and some features. However, two comparables have basements with recreation rooms and one comparable has a finished lower level, all of which require downward adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$135,403 to \$201,647 or from \$32.64 to \$49.09 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$160,412 or \$35.16 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this evidence, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 17, 2022
	111.1016
	Mana
	Clade of the Donorate Toro America Decord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Eric Kirsner, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085