

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steven Kaiser
DOCKET NO.: 19-06189.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-27-115-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Kaiser, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$55,083 **IMPR.:** \$180,974 **TOTAL:** \$236,057

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of vinyl siding and brick exterior construction with 2,830 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 728 square foot garage. The property has a site with approximately 9,724 square feet of land area and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within 2,174 feet of the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,006 to 3,889 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1954 to 1998. The appellant reported

that one comparable has a concrete slab foundation and three comparables have basements with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 627 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$156,286 to \$227,313 or from \$44.34 to \$59.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$152,162 or \$53.77 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$236,057. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$180,974 or \$63.95 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within 1,250 feet of the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The board of review's comparable #2 is a duplicate of the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings of dryvit or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,642 to 3,006 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1998 to 2004. The board of review reported that each comparable has a basement finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 361 to 441 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$179,420 to \$187,425 or from \$59.69 to \$70.94 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as one comparable was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to their larger dwelling sizes and older ages when compared to the subject dwelling. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables. These three comparables are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. The comparable have improvement assessments ranging from \$179,420 to \$187,425 or from \$59.69 to \$70.94 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$180,974 or \$63.95 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 19, 2022
	14:1016
	Mano

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Steven Kaiser, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085