



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kamal Ahmed  
DOCKET NO.: 19-06119.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-31-407-093

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kamal Ahmed, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$33,963  
**IMPR.:** \$140,547  
**TOTAL:** \$174,510

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction containing 3,291 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1988 and is approximately 31 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and an attached garage with 528 square feet of building area. The property has a 22,220 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as assigned by the township assessor to the subject property. The comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of wood-siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,772 to 2,828 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 33 to 53 years old. Two comparables each feature a full or partial unfinished

basement, and one comparable was built on a crawl-space foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 462 to 748 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$112,256 to \$114,724 or from \$40.50 to \$40.57 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$133,395 or \$40.53 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$174,510. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$140,547 or \$42.71 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as assigned by the township assessor to the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings with brick or wood-siding and brick exteriors that range in size from 3,242 to 3,291 square feet of living area. The homes were each built in 1987 and feature a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 660 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$141,707 to \$148,816 or from \$43.21 to \$45.32 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables based on their significantly smaller dwelling sizes, older age, and/or dissimilar crawl-space foundation when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of equity in assessment in this record to be the board of review comparables as they are virtually identical to the subject in foundation, age, dwelling size, and most features. These best comparables in the record have improvement assessments that range from \$141,707 to \$148,816 or from \$43.21 to \$45.32 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$140,547 or \$42,71 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best equity comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the

parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. After considering adjustments to the most similar comparables in this record for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement is equitably assessed, therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kamal Ahmed, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085