

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Segal

DOCKET NO.: 19-06069.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-22-415-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Segal, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,600 IMPR.: \$79,627 TOTAL: \$135,227

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,027 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1951 and is approximately 68 years old. The dwelling has a reported effective age of 1958. Features of the home include a basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 462 square foot garage. The property has a 9,504 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,476 to 2,004 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from

62 to 72 years old. The comparables each have an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a fireplace. Three comparables each have an attached garage that ranges in size from 312 to 440 square feet of building area. The garage section in the appellant's grid describes one comparable with none/462. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$50,933 to \$70,264 or from \$34.38 to \$37.59 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$72,710 or \$35.87 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$135,227. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$79,627 or \$39.28 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,725 to 2,116 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1959 to 1963 with comparables #1 and #4 having reported effective ages of 1980 and 1965, respectively. The comparables each have a basement, four of which have recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning and one or two fireplaces. Four comparables each have either an attached or a detached garage that ranges in size from 462 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$71,762 to \$81,202 or from \$37.80 to \$46.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables, as well as board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size, effective age and/or features.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #3 and #5. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and most features. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$79,992 and \$81,202 or \$37.80 and \$45.01 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$79,627 or \$39.28 per square foot of living area is below the two best comparables in the record in terms of overall improvement assessment but bracketed by these comparables on a square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables

for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
	Sobot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 15, 2022
	Middle
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Annual Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Segal, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085