

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Carol Tosi

DOCKET NO.: 19-06061.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-09-303-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carol Tosi, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$43,495 **IMPR.:** \$168,902 **TOTAL:** \$212,397

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 3,751 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1997 and is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an 858 square foot garage. The property has a 23,400 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,957 to 4,764 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 33 to 69 years old. The appellant reported that one comparable has a partial

basement, three comparables have concrete slab foundations and three comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 550 to 828 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$104,462 to \$199,463 or from \$35.33 to \$43.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$151,184 or \$40.30 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$212,397. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$168,902 or \$45.03 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with a 1-story dwelling, a 1.75-story dwelling and two, 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,775 to 4,289 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2001 to 2005. The comparables each have a basement, one of which has a 2,500 square foot recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 767 to 915 square feet of building area. One comparable has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$202,651 to \$312,390 or from \$49.23 to \$72.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to their dissimilar dwelling sizes and/or older ages when compared to the subject dwelling. The Board gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #3 and #5, as comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject; comparable #3 has a 2,500 square foot basement recreation, unlike the subject; and comparable #4 is a dissimilar one-story design when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #2. These two comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location and dwelling size. However, the Board finds the appellant's comparable #2 has a dwelling that is eleven years older than the subject and has a smaller garage than the subject, while board of review comparable #2 has a basement foundation in contrast to the subject's concrete slab foundation. Nevertheless, these two comparables have improvement assessments

of \$133,398 and \$202,651 or \$40.65 and \$49.23 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$168,902 or \$45.03 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Therefore, based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	L. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 15, 2022
	Middle 15
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Carol Tosi, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085