

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Eric Brands

DOCKET NO.: 19-05970.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-14-212-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eric Brands, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$35,830 **IMPR.:** \$125,080 **TOTAL:** \$160,910

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a ranch style dwelling of frame construction with 1,796 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1950. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 473 square foot garage. The property has a 10,840 square foot site and is located in Glen Ellyn, Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with ranch style homes of frame construction ranging in size from 1,182 to 1,616 square feet of living area. The

¹ The parties differ regarding some details of the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of the subject is depicted in the subject's property record card which was submitted by the board of review.

dwellings were built from 1925 to 1954. The comparables each have a basement, with one having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 266 to 440 square feet of building area. Two of the homes each have central air conditioning and one or two fireplaces.² The comparables are located from 0.12 to 0.42 of a mile from the subject property and are within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$72,610 to \$96,730 or from \$59.77 to \$61.43 per square foot of living area. Based upon this evidence, the appellant requested the subject property's improvement assessment be reduced to \$108,370 or \$59.48 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$160,910. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$125,080 or \$69.64 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables improved with ranch style homes of frame or frame and masonry construction ranging in size from 1,375 to 2,053 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1951 to 1964. The homes each have a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 300 to 525 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from 0.09 to 0.48 of a mile from the subject property and are within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$106,930 to \$149,020 or from \$71.83 to \$79.57 per square foot of living area. Based upon this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #3, which are considerably older than the subject property and to the board of review's comparable #6, which is a much smaller dwelling than the subject property.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and the board of review's comparables #1 through #5, which are similar to the subject in dwelling

² The appellant reported the comparables lack air conditioning and fireplace amenities and also did not provide the distances of the appellant's comparables from the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of the features of these comparables and distances is found in the board of review's summary of the appellant's comparables, which is supported by property record cards and a map showing the appellant's comparables in relation to the subject property.

size, age, location and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$91,740 to \$149,020 or from \$59.77 to \$79.57 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$125,080 or \$69.64 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments for differences, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 15, 2022
	Michl 215
	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Eric Brands, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187