

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Thomas Stone DOCKET NO.: 19-05898.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-25-309-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Stone, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$115,416 **IMPR.:** \$254,894 **TOTAL:** \$370,310

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of concrete block construction with 5,106 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 and has an effective age of 1975. Features of the home include a walkout style basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 572 square foot garage. The property has a 20,148 square foot site² and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

¹ Additional details of the subject property not provided by the appellant have been drawn from the board of review's evidence and the subject's property record card which the Board finds to be the best descriptive data of the subject.

² The parties differ as to the square footage of the subject parcel. The Board finds the best description of the subject lot is found in the property record card presented by the board of review which deems a portion of the parcel to be excess land.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with 2-story or 2.5-story homes of brick or stone exterior construction ranging in size from 4,689 to 5,793 square feet of living area. The dwellings range from 68 to 82 years old. The homes each have a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 504 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from 0.37 to 1.39 miles from the subject property and are within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$184,703 to \$229,235 or from \$31.88 to \$44.03 per square foot of living area. Based upon this evidence, the appellant requested the subject property's improvement assessment be reduced to \$207,558 or \$40.65 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$370,310. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$254,894 or \$49.92 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables improved with 2-story homes of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,680 to 5,281 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1938 to 1977 and have reported effective ages ranging from 1968 to 1981. The homes each have a basement, with two of the homes having finished area. Each home has central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 713 to 881 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from 0.48 to 1.42 miles from the subject property and are within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$239,973 to \$280,443 or from \$50.30 to \$53.10 per square foot of living area. Based upon this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #2, due to its lack of finished basement area like the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 through #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which are relatively similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, location, and some features. These comparable have improvement

assessments ranging from \$184,703 to \$280,443 or from \$31.88 to \$53.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$254,894 or \$49.92 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The subject property is newer than several of the comparables and has a larger garage than several of the comparables. Based on this record, and after considering appropriate adjustments for differences, such as age and garage size, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 21. | hen |
|--------------|----------------|
| Chair | rman |
| C. R. | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan De Kinin | Swan Bokley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | January 18, 2022 | |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|
| | 1111216 | |
| | Man On | |
| | C1 - 1 f 11 - D 1 - T A 1 D 1 | |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Thomas Stone, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085