



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yuli Drakovich
DOCKET NO.: 19-05840.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-31-103-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yuli Drakovich, the appellant, by attorney John Buscher, of The Buscher Firm in Deerfield, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$33,641
IMPR.: \$164,023
TOTAL: \$197,664

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,824 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1986. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 768 square foot garage. The property has a 40,511 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within .42 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction that range in size from 2,635 to 4,482 square feet of living area and were built from 1979 to 1985. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 704 to 962 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from

\$104,418 to \$174,439 or from \$37.79 to \$39.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$197,664. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$164,023 or \$42.89 per square foot of living area.

In rebuttal, the board of review asserted the subject has a finished basement while the appellant's comparables have unfinished basements.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within .38 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction that range in size from 3,177 to 4,614 square feet of living area and were built from 1984 to 1996. Each comparable has a basement with four having finished area, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 792 to 1,089 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$162,042 to \$194,118 or from \$42.07 to \$51.00 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds neither parties' comparables are truly similar to the subject due to differences in dwelling size and/or features. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #3 and #5 along with board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #1 which has an inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining five comparables. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and some features. However, four comparables have unfinished basements unlike the subject. The five comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$126,435 to \$176,148 or from \$37.79 to \$43.69 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$164,023 or \$42.89 per square foot of living area, falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences such as basement finish when compared to

the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 15, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Yuli Drakovich, by attorney:
John Buscher
The Buscher Firm
707 Osterman
Box 7186
Deerfield, IL 60015

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085