

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	James Bissing
DOCKET NO .:	19-04633.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-09-111-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Bissing, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$65,366
IMPR.:	\$111,744
TOTAL:	\$177,110

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 2,637 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1966. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 506 square foot garage. The property has a 12,750 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable properties located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 12,150 to 21,390 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,254 to 2,934 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1966 to 1977. The

comparables each have an unfinished basement. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 484 to 575 square feet of building area. The appellant provided sales data for comparables #1, #3, #4 and #5. These four properties sold from June 2018 to June 2019 for prices ranging from \$425,000 to \$577,500 or from \$163.46 to \$221.35 per square foot of living area, including land.

Counsel for the appellant noted that sale of comparable #6 included two parcel numbers and that the dwelling was a contemporary style, not a good like-kind property. The appellant asserted that this contemporary property should not be considered as like-kind. The appellant provided the MLS listing sheets associated with the sales of comparables #4 and #5 which described comparable #5 as a contemporary home that was rehabbed in 2016.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$149,415, which would reflect a market value of \$448,290 or \$170.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$177,110. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$538,492 or \$204.21 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2019 threeyear average median level of assessment for Lake County of 32.89% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The board of review's comparables #4 and #5 are duplicates of the appellant's comparable #5 and #4, respectively. The comparables have sites that range in size from 12,750 to 21,390 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,400 to 3,133 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1966 to 1977. The comparables each have a basement, one of which has a recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 504 to 650 square feet of building area. The properties sold from September 2017 to September 2018 for prices ranging from \$510,000 to \$700,000 or from \$202.90 to \$232.99 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight suggested comparable properties for the Board's consideration, as two comparables were common to both parties. Sales data was provided for seven of the comparables. The Board has given no weight to the appellant's comparable #2 as no sales data

was provided for this comparable to address the appellant's overvaluation argument. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #5/board of review comparable #4 due to its dissimilar contemporary design when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #1 as its sale occurred less proximate to the lien date at issue than the other comparables in the record and to board of review comparable #2 as it has a finished basement, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparable sales, which includes one common comparable. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. The comparables sold from December 2017 to June 2019 for prices ranging from \$425,000 to \$630,000 or from \$163.46 to \$232.99 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$538,492 or \$204.21 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record both in terms of overall market value and on a price per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 15, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Bissing, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085