

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Guiyong Song
DOCKET NO.:	19-04326.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	11-29-201-075

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Guiyong Song, the appellant; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$53,496
IMPR.:	\$163,101
TOTAL:	\$216,597

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,684 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2003. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 547 square foot garage. The property has a 9,580 square foot site and is located in Vernon Hills, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis and property record cards on the subject and four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with part one-story and part two-story or two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,551 to

¹ The best description of the subject's design was found in the property record card which included a sketch of the subject's floorplan, depicted the dwelling as being part one-story and part two-story in design.

4,367 square feet of living area.² The homes were built in 2004. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 574 to 689 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$153,445 to \$179,387 or from \$41.08 to \$43.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$153,337 or \$41.62 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$216,597. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$163,101 or \$44.27 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The board of review reported the comparables are improved with one-story or twostory dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,525 to 3,717 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2002 to 2004. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 561 to 708 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$154,894 to \$166,065 or from \$43.47 to \$45.49 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$153,445 to \$166,065 or from \$42.69 to \$45.49 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$163,101 or \$44.27 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence

² Property record cards for the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 depict the improvements as being part one-story and part two-story in design.

that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 21, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Guiyong Song 2140 Beaver Creek Drive Vernon Hills, IL 60061

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085