

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Deborah Budnik

DOCKET NO.: 19-03992.001-R-1 through 19-03992.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Deborah Budnik, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
19-03992.001-R-1	16-15-409-008	4,143	0	\$4,143
19-03992.002-R-1	16-15-409-009	32,880	47,508	\$80,388

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels with one parcel being improved with a two-story dwelling of stucco construction with 1,327 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1923 and is approximately 96 years old. Features of the property include an unfinished full basement, 1½ bathrooms, and a detached garage with 324 square feet of building area. The property is located in Highwood, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,808 to 1,950 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 89 to 96 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, one or two bathrooms and a detached garage ranging in size from 360 to 484 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has

one fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,849 to \$57,870 or from \$29.34 to \$29.78 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$39,212.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total combined assessments for the two parcels of \$84,531. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$47,508 or \$35.80 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding, stucco or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,228 to 1,392 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1918 to 1940. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished area, one or two bathrooms and a detached garage ranging in size from 360 to 882 square feet of building area. Four comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables have one fireplace. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,054 to \$49,712 or from \$34.24 to \$38.78 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten comparables relatively similar to the subject property in location, age, style, and construction. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. The appellant's comparables are approximately 36% to 47% larger than the subject dwelling. The Board finds the board of review comparables are most similar to the subject dwelling in size, however, four comparables are superior to the subject in features such as central air conditioning and a fireplace, features the subject dwelling does not have, which suggests downward adjustments would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject property. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$44,054 to \$49,712 or from \$34.24 to \$38.78 per square foot of living area. The two best comparables with respect to overall features are board of review comparables #1 and #2 with improvement assessments of \$49,624 and \$46,293 or \$37.85 and \$36.74 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$47,508 or \$35.80 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed, and a reduction is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 21, 2021	
	Middle 216	
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Associal Provide	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

Docket No: 19-03992.001-R-1 through 19-03992.002-R-1

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Deborah Budnik, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085