

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matilda Manfredini DOCKET NO.: 19-03927.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-15-210-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matilda Manfredini, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,183 **IMPR.:** \$37,846 **TOTAL:** \$74,029

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,056 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1933 and is approximately 86 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement and a 260 square foot garage. The property has an 8,480 square foot site and is located in Highwood, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with a 1.75-story and three, 1.5-story dwellings of stucco or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 1,562 to 1,744 square feet of living area and range in age from 92 to 99 years old. The comparables have unfinished basements, one

comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables each have a fireplace, and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 216 to 506 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$48,558 to \$51,268 or from \$28.35 to \$31.11 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$74,029. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$37,846 or \$35.84 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that are located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction that range in size from 1,079 to 1,207 square feet of living area and were built from 1928 to 1940 years old. The comparables have basements with two having recreation rooms. One comparable has central air conditioning. One comparable has a fireplace. Two comparables each have a garage with 240 or 360 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$39,812 to \$83,074 or from \$36.90 to \$73.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their significantly larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparable #2 as it appears to be an outlier based on its considerably higher improvement assessment than the other board of review comparables.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #3, #4 and #5 which overall are most similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and some features. However, one comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables have basement recreation rooms and two comparables lack garages when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$39,812 to \$62,270 or from \$36.90 to \$52.82 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$37,846 or \$35.84 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 21, 2021
	Middle 215

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Matilda Manfredini, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085