

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Loren Rivkin
DOCKET NO.:	19-03911.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-29-406-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Loren Rivkin, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$49,354
IMPR.:	\$141,350
TOTAL:	\$190,704

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,570 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1978 and is approximately 41 years old. Features of the home include central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached two-car garage with 440 square feet of building area. The subject dwelling is further described as having a "1244/slab" basement. The property has a site with approximately 9,370 square feet of land area and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,176 to 2,736 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 50 to 71 years old. One comparable has a slab foundation and three comparables are described as having

Docket No: 19-03911.001-R-1

either a 1088/slab or 1156/slab foundation. Three comparables have central air conditioning, each property has one fireplace, and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 400 to 493 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$112,132 to \$146,890 or from \$48.63 to \$53.69 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$130,812.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$190,704. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$141,350 or \$55.00 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,266 to 2,578 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1970 to 1979. One comparable has a slab foundation and four comparables are described as having basement area ranging in size from 632 to 1,476 square feet and a slab. Each property has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 441 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$131,547 to \$158,839 or from \$54.61 to \$66.52 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in age and foundation. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #3 due to differences from the subject dwelling in foundation. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 through #3 and board of review comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5 as these comparables are similar to the subject in location, style, size, age, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$112,132 to \$158,839 or from \$48.63 to \$66.52 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$141,350 or \$55.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex</u> <u>Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the

parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C R	dover Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 16, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Loren Rivkin, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085