

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Mertes
DOCKET NO.: 19-03893.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-29-314-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Mertes, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$43,017 **IMPR.:** \$252,837 **TOTAL:** \$295,854

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,400 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2003 and is approximately 16 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car attached garage with 484 square feet of building area. The property has an 8,680 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,242 to 3,496 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 12 to 20 years old. Each property has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 420 to 675 square feet of building area. The

comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$192,539 to \$238,789 or from \$55.07 to \$68.66 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$232,721.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$295,854. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$252,837 or \$74.36 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,931 to 3,874 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1999 to 2017. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 846 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$250,180 to \$275,958 or from \$71.23 to \$86.60 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables #4 and #5 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or age. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables and board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 as these comparables are similar to the subject in location, style, size, and age. The Board finds that a downward adjustment to board of review comparable #1 appears to be warranted due to its finished basement area, as the subject has an unfinished basement, and its larger garage in relation to the subject's garage. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$192,539 to \$275,958 or from \$55.07 to \$76.62 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$252,837 or \$74.36 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman		
al R		Sobot Steffen
Member		Member
Dan De Kinin		
Member		Member
DISSENTING:		
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.		
	Date:	November 16, 2021

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

William Mertes, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085