

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lowell & Carol Kousins

DOCKET NO.: 19-03874.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-32-315-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lowell & Carol Kousins, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$57,938 **IMPR.:** \$126,444 **TOTAL:** \$184,382

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 2,880 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970 and is approximately 49 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 10,800 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction that range in size from 2,892 to 3,386 square feet of living area and range in age from 45 to 64 years old. The comparables each have a concrete slab or crawl space foundation,

central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage with either 440 or 528 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$110,970 to \$124,700 or from \$36.83 to \$38.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$184,382. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$126,444 or \$43.90 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that are located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick and wood siding exterior construction with each containing 2,888 square feet of living area and were built from 1972 to 1975. The comparables each have a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 440 square feet of building area. Comparable #5 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$121,272 to \$134,413 or from \$41.99 to \$46.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellants' comparable #1 due to its older age and larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to appellants' comparable #4 and board of review comparable #5 as each has an inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$110,970 to \$132,319 or from \$38.37 to \$45.82 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$126,444 or \$43.90 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 21, 2021	
	1111216	
	Man O	
	Clauda a C 41a a Dua na ntao Taon A non a 1 D a and	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Lowell & Carol Kousins, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085