

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Erik Soderstrom DOCKET NO.: 19-03872.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-302-030

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Erik Soderstrom, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$69,007 **IMPR.:** \$120,849 **TOTAL:** \$189,856

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,585 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1963 and is approximately 56 years old with an effective age of 1980 or 39 years. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 462 square foot garage. The property has an 11,920 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,524 to 2,802 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 68 years old. The comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, and garages ranging

in size from 462 to 576 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$106,995 to \$129,941 or from \$42.39 to \$47.08 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$201,889. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$132,882 or \$51.41 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are described as one-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,307 to 2,925 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1960 to 1964 and have effective ages ranging from 1963 to 1980. The comparables have basements with recreation rooms, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 575 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$126,020 to \$142,734 or from \$45.32 to \$58.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #4 due to differences in actual age when compared to the subject. The Board also gave reduced weight to the board of review comparables, all of which have basement recreations rooms unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 which overall are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$129,941 and \$110,628 or for \$46.37 and \$47.08 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$132,882 or \$51.41 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in the record both on overall and per square foot bases. Therefore, after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitable and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	The
Chairr	nan
a R	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 21, 2021	
	1111216	
	rejum C-1	
	Clark of the Decrease Town Assess Decrea	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Erik Soderstrom, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085