



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Edward Scoby
DOCKET NO.: 19-03784.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-24-206-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edward Scoby, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$39,822
IMPR.: \$101,610
TOTAL: \$141,432

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,280 square feet of above ground living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970 and is approximately 49 years old. Features of the home include a finished lower level/basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 567 square foot garage. The property has a site with approximately 55,125 square feet of land area and is located in North Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis and exterior photographs of the subject and four equity comparables located from .30 of a mile to 1.65 miles from the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The

comparables are improved with a 2-story¹ dwelling and three, split-level dwellings of aluminum or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,120 to 2,367 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings range in age from 60 to 88 years old. The appellant reported each comparable has a basement or a lower level with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage that ranges in size from 654 to 1,100 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$72,985 to \$96,454 or from \$33.24 to \$40.75 per square foot of above ground living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$84,588 or \$37.10 per square foot of above ground living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$141,432. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$101,610 or \$44.57 per square foot of above ground living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located from .06 of a mile to 1.42 miles from the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The board of review's comparable #4 is a duplicate of the appellant's comparable #3. The comparables are improved with a 1.5-story, a split-level and two, 1-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,112 to 2,462 square feet of above ground living area. The dwellings were built from 1959 to 1979. The board of review reported that each comparable has either a lower level or a basement with finished area with one basement having a walk out design. The comparables each have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 535 to 984 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$96,454 to \$118,924 or from \$40.75 to \$54.20 per square foot of above ground living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as one comparable was common to both parties. The Board finds none of the comparables are truly similar to the subject. The appellant's comparables are inferior to the subject in age and/or have a dissimilar 2-story design. The board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 are more similar to the subject in location and age but their dwellings are dissimilar 1-story or 1.5-story designs when compared to the subject's split-level design. Furthermore, the appellant's

¹ The appellant described comparable #2 as a split-level dwelling in the grid analysis, however the photographic evidence provided by the appellant depicts the dwelling with a two-story design. The Board finds the best evidence of the design of comparable #2 is found in the appellant's photographic evidence.

comparables #1 and #3/board of review comparable #4 are located more than one mile from the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$72,985 to \$118,924 or from \$33.24 to \$54.20 per square foot of above grade living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$101,610 or \$44.57 per square foot of above grade living area falls within the range established by the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 15, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Edward Scoby, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085