

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Cannon DOCKET NO.: 19-03778.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-16-405-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Cannon, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$32,887 **IMPR.:** \$130,310 **TOTAL:** \$163,197

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,590 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1985 and is approximately 34 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 950 square foot attached garage. The property has an approximately 69,180 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,914 to 2,783 square feet of living area. The

dwellings range in age from 42 to 59 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, one of which has finished area. Three comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables each have a fireplace and each comparable has an attached garage that ranges in size from 406 to 528 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 was reported to also have a detached garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$92,135 to \$128,024 or from \$40.34 to \$48.14 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$117,391 or \$45.32 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$163,197. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$130,310 or \$50.31 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Board of review comparable #5 is the same property as appellant's comparable #3. The comparables are improved with a bilevel dwelling and four, one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,237 to 3,783 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 1988. One comparable has a finished lower level and four comparables each have a full or partial basement, one of which has a recreation room. The comparables each have central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and an attached garage that ranges in size from 528 to 782 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$120,594 to \$159,062 or from \$46.00 to \$67.89 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as one comparable was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 due to their older ages when compared to the subject dwelling. Furthermore, the appellant's comparable #2 is considerably smaller in dwelling size than the subject. The Board gives reduced weight to board of review comparable #1 as it has an inground swimming pool, unlike the subject and board of review comparable #4 due to its dissimilar bilevel design when compared to the subject dwelling's one-story design.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which includes the common comparable. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. The comparables have improvement

assessments ranging from \$120,594 to \$130,463 from \$46.00 to \$58.32 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$130,310 or \$50.31 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman		
a R		asort Stoffen
Member		Member
Dan Dikini		
Member		Member
DISSENTING:		
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.		
Dat	te:	November 16, 2021

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Cannon, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085