

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Nader Aziz
DOCKET NO.:	19-03772.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	13-10-102-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nader Aziz, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$36,974
IMPR.:	\$202,952
TOTAL:	\$239,926

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,563 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002 and is approximately 17 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 924 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 40,950 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from .14 to .82 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,543 to 5,083 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 18 to 20 years old. The comparables

each have a full basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage that ranges in size from 714 to 925 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$175,398 to \$199,673 or from \$36.08 to \$41.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$178,413 or \$39.10 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$239,926. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$202,952 or \$44.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from .85 of a mile to 1.47 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding, brick and wood siding or brick and Dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 4,255 to 4,766 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1998 to 2001. Comparable #1 has an effective age of 2000. The comparables each have a full basement, three of which have recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two or four fireplaces and a garage that ranges in size from 738 to 1,077 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$221,529 to \$253,562 or from \$46.48 to \$54.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4 due to their locations being greater than one mile from the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features, except that four of the five remaining comparables have unfinished basements, unlike the subject's basement which has a recreation room, suggesting an upward adjustment would be necessary to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$175,398 to \$221,529 from \$36.08 to \$46.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$202,952 or \$44.48 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C R	room Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 16, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Nader Aziz, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085