

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mi Jin Chang DOCKET NO.: 19-03748.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-17-304-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mi Jin Chang, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$74,460 **IMPR.:** \$175,350 **TOTAL:** \$249,810

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 4,210 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include a full basement that is partially finished, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 759 square feet of building area. The property has a 43,568 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,693 to 4,660 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 29 to 31 years old. Each property has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 700 to 864 square feet of building area.

The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$140,383 to \$178,029 or from \$36.16 to \$38.65 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$157,664.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$249,810. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$175,350 or \$41.65 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick, or brick and dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 3,707 to 4,380 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1989 to 1994. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 825 to 912 square feet of building area. Comparable #5 also has a swimming pool. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$164,405 to \$184,346 or from \$42.09 to \$44.35 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #1 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or lack of finished basement area. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2 through #5 as these comparables are improved with homes most similar to the subject dwelling in size and each has finished basement area as does the subject property. These four comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$166,966 to \$184,346 or from \$42.09 to \$44.08 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$175,350 or \$41.65 per square foot of living area falls within the overall range but below the range on a per square foot basis as established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	

### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

November 16, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Mi Jin Chang, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085