

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Barry Stein

DOCKET NO.: 19-03747.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-24-401-024

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Barry Stein, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$82,604 **IMPR.:** \$210,782 **TOTAL:** \$293,386

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 1.5-story dwelling of wood siding and brick exterior construction with 4,731 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was built in 1977 and is approximately 42 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an attached garage with 1,036 square feet of building area. The subject property also has an inground swimming pool. The property has a 49,375 square foot site and is located in Riverwoods, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick or dryvit exterior construction ranging

¹ For purposes of this appeal the Property Tax Appeal Board accepts the size of the subject dwelling as reported by the appellant as it is better supported by the information contained on the subject's property record card.

in size from 4,804 to 5,671 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 39 to 58 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 638 to 966 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$203,494 to \$227,612 or from \$40.14 to \$42.82 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$197,874.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$293,386. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$210,782 or \$44.55 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick, wood siding, or dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 4,548 to 4,686 square feet of living area.² The dwellings were built from 1975 to 1984. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 768 to 1,326 square feet of building area. Comparables #2, #3 and #4 also have detached garages ranging in size from 273 to 1,880 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 also has a swimming pool. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$209,722 to \$222,568 or from \$45.39 to \$48.94 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 due to differences from the subject property in size and/or age. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #4 and the comparables submitted by the board of review as these comparables are improved with homes most similar to the subject dwelling in age and size. The Board finds that two of these comparables have finished basement area whereas the subject has an unfinished basement area suggesting downward adjustments would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject property. Three of the comparables also have additional detached garages which would also require downward adjustments. These five comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$206,066 to \$222,568 or from \$42.82 to \$48.94 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$210,782 or \$44.55 per

_

² Board of review comparables #4 and #5 are the same property.

square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman		
a R		Sobot Steffen
Member		Member
Dan De Kinin		
Member		Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.		
	Date:	November 16, 2021

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Barry Stein, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085