

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Melissa Hagle DOCKET NO.: 19-03702.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-29-418-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Melissa Hagle, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$78,602 IMPR.: \$303,986 TOTAL: \$382,588

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,242 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2015 and is approximately 4 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached garage with 726 square feet of building area. The property has approximately 19,600 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located from 0.38 to 0.75 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1.75-story, 2-story and 2.5-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,541 to 4,162 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 3 and 8 years old. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and an attached garage ranging in size from 506 or 735 square feet of building area. Three comparables have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$240,228 to \$324,979 or from \$67.84 to \$78.79 per square

foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$267,088 or \$74.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$382,588. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$303,986 or \$84.39 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted the subject's property record card and a grid analysis of five suggested equity comparables located from 0.54 of a mile to 1.09 miles from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with either 1-story or 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,620 to 4,091 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 1 to 5 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with two comparables having finished area, central air conditioning and an attached ranging in size from 651 to 936 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have a fireplace and comparable #5 has a reinforced concrete swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$307,203 to \$333,708 or from \$81.57 to \$87.48 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 along with the board of review comparables #1 and #4 for their differences in design and finished basements when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review comparable #5 based on the additional reinforced concrete pool that the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity are the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with the board of review comparables #2 and #3 as these comparables are similar when compared to the subject in age, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$240,228 to \$333,708 or from \$67.84 to \$84.86 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$303,986 or \$84.39 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 19, 2022
	111.1016
	Mana
	Clade of the Decrease Toron Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Melissa Hagle, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085