

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mark Neahring
DOCKET NO.:	19-03218.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	05-23-229-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Neahring, the appellant, by Dennis D. Koonce, Attorney at Law in Frankfort; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *a reduction* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$24,350
IMPR.:	\$101,670
TOTAL:	\$126,020

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story townhome of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,922 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2010. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 380 square foot garage. The property has a 2,226 square foot site and is located in Glen Ellyn, Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition and submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 25, 2018 for a price of \$382,000. The appellant identified the seller as Bruno Jham and indicated the parties were not related. The appellant further disclosed the property was sold by a realtor and the property had been

¹ The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's dwelling size is found in the MLS listing provided by the appellant and the subject's property record card provided by the board of review.

advertised in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) for a period of 37 days. To document the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the MLS listing sheet and a copy of the settlement statement reiterating the sale date and price which also depicted commissions being issued to one realty agency as part of the transaction. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$135,150. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$409,670 or \$213.15 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2019 threeyear average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 32.99% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and data from the township assessor's office. The township assessor agreed that the appellant purchased the home in May 2018 for \$382,000. (Exhibit #1 is a copy of the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration associated with the transaction.) The township assessor reported that the subject's 2019 assessment is the 2018 sales price plus the 2019 and 2020 equalization factors. Those factors, 6.05% and 2.71%, respectively, were applied by the DuPage County Supervisor of Assessments.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review, through the township assessor, submitted information on two comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites with either 2,268 or 2,351 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story townhomes of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,922 or 1,929 square feet of living area. Each dwelling was built in 2009 and has a basement, one of which has finished area. The comparables each have central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage that contains 380 square feet of building area. The comparables sold in May and October 2017 for prices of \$420,000 and \$460,000 or for \$218.52 and \$238.47 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in May 2018 for a price of \$382,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market in the Multiple Listing

Service and it had been on the market for 37 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and the MLS listing sheet. The Board finds the two comparable sales provided by the board of review do not overcome the weight of the subject's arm's length transaction. Moreover, the Board finds these two comparables have dated 2017 sales. The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of two parties dealing at arm's-length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but is practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967).

The Board further finds the board of review agreed that the subject was purchased in May 2018 and determined the purchase price was sufficient to reduce the subject's assessment to reflect that transaction plus the 2019 and 2020 township equalization factor. However, the Board finds it problematic that the township assessor purportedly applied a 2019 and a 2020 equalization factor to arrive at an assessed value for the subject property as of the January 1, 2019 assessment date.² Moreover, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the claim by the township assessor that the subject's 2018 sale price should be increased by 6.05% and 2.71% due to the Milton Township equalization factors of 1.0605 and 1.0271 in 2019 and 2020, respectively, is unsupported, unpersuasive and not supported by law.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$382,000 as of January 1, 2019. Since market value has been determined the 2019 three-year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 32.99% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(1).

² The Board finds the subject's 2019 assessment of \$135,150 does not equal the subject's purchase price plus the application of the 2019 and 2020 Milton Township equalization factors as reported by the assessor. The subject's purchase price of \$382,000 at the statutory level of assessment of 33.33% results in an assessment of \$127,321 plus the application of the 1.0605 and 1.0271 equalization factors is equal to an assessment of \$138,683. (\$127,321 x $1.0605 = $135,024 \times 1.0271 = $138,683$)

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 21, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Neahring, by attorney: Dennis D. Koonce Attorney at Law 11255 Patrick Court Frankfort, IL 60423

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187