

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Bert Gyllin

DOCKET NO.: 19-00554.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-30-378-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bert Gyllin, the appellant; and the Boone County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Boone** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$12,500 **IMPR.:** \$75,589 **TOTAL:** \$88,089

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Boone County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of vinyl and brick exterior construction with 2,131 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 13 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage with 827 square feet of building area. The property has a 0.27 acre site and is located in Cherry Valley, Belvidere Township, Boone County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and within four blocks of the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of vinyl and brick exterior construction that range in size from 1,871 to 2,312 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 13 to 15 years old. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 668 to 1,128 square feet

of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$65,867 to \$74,969 or from \$29.21 to \$35.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$72,792 or \$34.16 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$88,089. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$75,589 or \$35.47 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same subdivision and on the same block or street as the subject property. Board of review comparable #4 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,979 to 2,137 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 11 to 14 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 796 to 1,128 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$69,188 to \$87,106 or from \$32.65 to \$40.76 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as one comparable was common to both parties. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4, which includes the common comparable, as these properties differ from the subject in having a finished basement, smaller dwelling size and/or larger garage size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and most features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$74,168 to \$87,106 or from \$33.80 to \$40.76 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$75,589 or \$35.47 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden

with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	The
Chair	man
C. R.	Solot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 21, 2021
	111.10)1
	Mana
	C11

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bert Gyllin 7191 Brimmer Way Cherry Valley, IL 61016

COUNTY

Boone County Board of Review Boone County Assessment Office 1208 Logan Avenue Belvidere, IL 61008