

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Serigne Seye
DOCKET NO.: 18-49843.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 25-12-219-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Serigne Seye, the appellant(s), by attorney Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,508 **IMPR.:** \$92 **TOTAL:** \$2,600

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a 70-year-old, one and one-half-story, building of frame and masonry construction containing 981 square feet of gross building area. Features of the subject include a partial unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property is situated on 4,560 square feet in Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement that disclosed the subject property was purchased for \$26,000 on June 22, 2016, from U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee of OWS REO Trust. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$26.50 per square foot of gross building area

including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service (MLS) information sheet that disclosed the subject was sold as foreclosure property; information on six suggested comparable sales; and the Board's 2017 prior general assessment period decision regarding the subject property. The appellant included information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not sold as a transfer between related parties; and was advertised and sold through a realtor. The appellant failed to answer the question whether the property was sold due to a foreclosure. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$2,230.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$7,811. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$78,110, or \$79.62 per square foot of gross building area, when using the 2018 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable sales, three of which sold in 2018.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the comparable properties submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given diminished weight because they were dissimilar to the subject in various key property characteristics. The appellant resubmitted the "Market Value Equalization" analysis with an adjustment based on suggested comparable properties. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The Board finds the appellant failed to establish the admissibility of and to lay a foundation for the adjustments in the "Market Value Equalization" analysis. Therefore, the Board gives them no weight. However, the Board may consider the raw, unadjusted sale data.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in June 2016 for \$26,000 is a "compulsory sale." The evidence disclosed that the subject was sold as "REO" property, as shown on the settlement statement. The MLS information sheet disclosed the subject was sold as foreclosure property. REO is an abbreviation for "real estate owned." Black's Law Dictionary, "REO" (10<sup>th</sup> ed. 2014). Real Estate Owned is defined as "Property acquired by a lender, usu. through foreclosure, in satisfaction of a debt. - Abbr. REO." Black's Law Dictionary, "real estate owned" (10<sup>th</sup> ed. 2014). A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred

to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

#### 35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

The Board may consider market value evidence, such as sales of comparable properties submitted by the parties, to determine whether the subject was sold at fair cash value. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4); *See* Calumet Transfer LLC v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 401 Ill.App.3d 652 (1st Dist. 2010).

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board may look to other evidence presented by the parties. Although the appellant's recent sale appears to have been a compulsory sale, it reflects the fair market value based upon an analysis of recent sales of comparable properties and other evidence. The Board finds the appellant's comparable(s) #1 and #4, and board of review comparable(s) #4 set the range of market value for the subject. These comparable properties were similar with the subject in location, style, construction, features, age, living area and land area. They ranged from \$13.25 to \$30.58 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$79.62 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$26.50 per square foot of living area, including land, and is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Since the sale price of the subject was below the market value reflected by the assessment, the Board finds that the subject is overvalued and holds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

		Chairman
AR.		Robert Stoffen
Member		Member
DanDikin	<u> </u>	Sarah Bokley
Member		Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
hereby certify that the fo	oregoing is a true, fu	l Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do ll and complete Final Administrative Decision of the date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
	Date:	March 21, 2023
		111-11-216

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** 

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Serigne Seye, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602