



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Asutosh & Rita Anand-Padhi  
DOCKET NO.: 18-49138.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 17-22-309-072-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Asutosh & Rita Anand-Padhi, the appellants, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$18,802  
**IMPR.:** \$164,895  
**TOTAL:** \$183,697

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 3,205 square feet of living area. The building is 15 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement utilized as a recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 1,635 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant indicated that their appeal was based on a market value, assessment equity and contention of law, however, no legal argument was submitted. Instead, the appellant submitted a sales analysis indicating the subject was overvalued. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales plus information on the subject unit. The comparable properties sold between April 2016 and August of 2017. The comparable properties

ranged: in price between \$1,560,000 to \$1,950,000; in living square footage between 2,604 to 3,218; and in sale price per square foot between \$578.77 to \$657.22, including land. In addition, the appellant included a chart listing an allocated sale price for each comparable based on the average price per square foot of all recent sales in the subject's townhome development. A 10% personal property deduction was applied to the unit's allocated sales price to arrive at a sale price much lower than the subject's actual sale price. The appellant then applied a 7.99% median level of assessment factor to arrive at a requested assessment for the subject of \$146,785. The appellant included assessment data on these comparables which reflect improvement assessments from \$26.53 to \$56.05 per square foot of living area.

The appellant also submitted evidence that the subject was purchased in September 2015 for \$1,900,000 or \$592.82 per square foot, including land. No other evidence regarding the purchase of the subject property was provided.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$183,697. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,836,970 or \$573.16 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, one of which reflected sale data. All of the comparables are located on the subject's block. The sale of the subject in September 2015 for \$1,899,989, or \$592.82 per square foot, including land, was also reflected on the grid sheet. The comparables have improvement assessments from \$55.37 to \$56.05 per square foot of building area. The board of review's comparable #3 is also included in the appellant's evidence. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds the best evidence to be the sale of the subject property in September 2015 for \$1,899,989 as well as the other sale comparables offered by the appellant. All sale comparables had virtually the same features as the subject. They sold between September 2015 and August 2017 for amounts ranging from \$578.77 to \$657.22 per square foot, including land. The subject's assessment represents a market value of \$573.16 per square foot, including land, which is below the range set forth by these comparables. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Furthermore, the Board gives no weight to the appellant's deduction of 10% for personal property, as there was no evidence submitted to show that personal property was included in any

of the sale transactions, and that no deduction is warranted for this factor. Additionally, the Board gives no weight to the appellant's level of assessment argument as the appellant submitted incorrect and unsupported data. As the subject is an individually owned property, the Board accords no weight to the appellant's argument and analysis utilizing the "allocated sales price." The appellant provided no statute or caselaw which would allow the Board to determine that such an analysis should be utilized.

The taxpayer also asserts assessment inequity as a basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes, "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const. art. IX, §4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity are all the comparables. These properties had improvement assessments from \$26.53 to \$56.05 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's assessment of \$51.45 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

---

**PARTIES OF RECORD**

**AGENCY**

**State of Illinois**  
**Property Tax Appeal Board**  
**William G. Stratton Building, Room 402**  
**401 South Spring Street**  
**Springfield, IL 62706-4001**

**APPELLANT**

**Asutosh & Rita Anand-Padhi, by attorney:**  
**Noah J. Schmidt**  
**Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.**  
**111 West Washington Street**  
**Suite 1300**  
**Chicago, IL 60602**

**COUNTY**

**Cook County Board of Review**  
**County Building, Room 601**  
**118 North Clark Street**  
**Chicago, IL 60602**