



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Young  
DOCKET NO.: 18-48715.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-23-204-053-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Young, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$1,774  
**IMPR.:** \$31,825  
**TOTAL:** \$33,599

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story, townhome dwelling of masonry construction with 1,639 square feet of living area. The building is 12 years old. Features of the home include a slab basement, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales. The comparable properties sold between March of 2016 and May of 2018. The comparable properties ranged: in price between \$300,000 to \$346,500; each had 1,639 in living square footage; and in sale price per square foot between \$183.04 to \$211.41, including land. In addition, the appellant included an allocated sale

price for each comparable, deducted 5% personalty, and applied an 8.85% assessment level to arrive at a requested assessment for the subject of \$27,233.

The appellant also submitted evidence that the subject was purchased in June 2015 for \$298,000 or \$181.82 per square foot, including land. The property was transferred via Warranty Deed.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$33,599. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$335,990 or \$205.00 in market value per square foot of living area when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables, four of which contain sales data. All of the comparables are located on the subject's block. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

*Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd.*, 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing *Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd.*, 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

A contemporaneous sale between parties dealing at arms-length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash market value, (see *People ex rel. Korzan v. Chicago, Burlington Quincy Railroad Co.* 32 Ill.2d 554 and *People ex rel. Musso v. Chicago, Burlington Quincy Railroad Co.* 33 Ill.2d 88,) but would be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment was at full value. Some information necessary to resolve whether this sale was an arms-length transaction is not present in the record, including the manner and length of time the property was on the market. Nevertheless, the Board will give some weight to this sale but will not consider it to be conclusive as to the subject's market value.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds the best evidence to be the sale of the subject property in June 2015 for \$298,000 as well as all of the sale comparables offered by the appellant, with both parties utilizing comparables ending with PINs ending in -046 through -048 and -051. All sale comparables had virtually the same features as the subject. They sold between March 2016 and May 2018 for amounts ranging from \$183.04 to \$211.41 per square foot, including land. The subject's assessment represents a market value of \$205.00 per square foot, including land, which is within the range set forth by these comparables.

The Board gives little weight to the appellant's sales analysis as it utilized an average sale price based on the aggregate sales of 6 units in the subject's townhome development, including the sale of the subject, plus an unsupported personal property deduction and a level of assessment below the statutory level of 10%.

Based on this record, the appellant has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued and no assessment reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 17, 2023



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Young, by attorney:  
Noah J. Schmidt  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 West Washington Street  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602