



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Valerie & Richard Persenaire
DOCKET NO.: 18-48181.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 32-25-102-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Valerie & Richard Persenaire, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,815
IMPR.: \$2,831
TOTAL: \$4,646

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,025 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 58 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation and central air conditioning. The property has a 6,600 square foot site and is located in Sauk Village, Bloom Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,420 to 7,003 square feet of land area and are improved with class 2-03 dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,025 to 1,286 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 58 to 60 years old. Two comparables have full unfinished basements and two comparables have concrete slab

foundations. Two comparables have central air conditioning and one comparable has a 2-car garage. The sales occurred from April 2017 to June 2018 for prices ranging from \$27,000 to \$37,000 or from \$25.64 to \$29.27 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$4,646. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$46,460 or \$45.33 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,420 to 7,110 square feet of land area and are improved with one-story class 2-03 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,050 to 1,088 square feet of living area and range in age from 57 to 59 years old. Two comparables have concrete slab foundations and two comparables have partial or full basements with one having a recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace, and three comparables each have a 1.5-car or 2-car garage. The sales occurred from February 2016 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$52,500 to \$67,750 or from \$49.86 to \$64.52 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight comparable sales located within the subject's neighborhood for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellants' comparables #1, #2, and #3 as well as board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to differences in foundation type or dwelling size when compared to the subject. In addition, board of review comparable #4 sold in February 2016 which is less proximate in time to the January 1, 2018 assessment date than the other sales in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellants' comparable #4 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are similar in dwelling size, age, and foundation type. However, each comparable lacks central air conditioning suggesting an upward adjustment and two comparables have a garage suggesting a downward adjustment to make them more equivalent to the subject. The properties sold from February to September 2017 for prices ranging from \$30,000 to \$67,750 or from \$29.27 to \$64.52 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$46,460 or \$45.33 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the comparable

sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by the assessment is supported, and therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Valerie & Richard Persenaire, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602