



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Athanasopoulos  
DOCKET NO.: 18-44438.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 32-18-407-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Athanasopoulos, the appellant, by attorney Peter D. Verros of Verros Berkshire in Oakbrook Terrace; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,803  
**IMPR.:** \$10,406  
**TOTAL:** \$13,209

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,692 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 53 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, a fireplace and a one-car garage. The property has an 8,625 square foot site and is located in Chicago Heights, Bloom Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis and property characteristic sheets for the subject and four comparable properties. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .5 of a mile to 1 mile

from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-03 one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,509 to 1,682 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 60 to 64 years old. Two comparables have concrete slab foundations and two comparables have full unfinished basements. Comparable #3 has central air conditioning. Two comparables each have a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$6,660 to \$8,957 or from \$4.25 to \$5.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$8,308 or \$4.91 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,209. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$10,406 or \$6.15 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis of the subject and four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code and block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-03 one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,653 to 1,769 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 49 to 60 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished area and either a one-car or a two-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables each have either one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$10,417 to \$12,811 or from \$6.26 to \$7.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to their dissimilar concrete slab foundation and lack of a garage, when compared to the subject's basement foundation and one-car garage. The Board has also given less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 as it has central air conditioning, not a feature of the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject in finished basement area and/or they have central air conditioning.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparable #2, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$8,957 and \$12,106 or \$5.54 and \$7.05 per square foot of living area. The subject's

improvement assessment of \$10,406 or \$6.15 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Athanasopoulos, by attorney:  
Peter D. Verros  
Verros Berkshire  
1S660 Midwest Road  
Suite 300  
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602